Research Paper
Impact Factor: 4.164
Refereed Journal

IJMDRR
E- ISSN –2395-1885
ISSN -2395-1877

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZYGENERALIZED B CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

B. Kiruthikadevi* **R. Balakumar****
**Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Prist University, Thanjavur.*
***Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Prist University, Thanjavur.*

Abstract
In this paper we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings and some of their basic properties are studied.

Keywords and Phrases: Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b closed sets, intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy $_bT_{1/2}$ ($IF_bT_{1/2}$) space and intuitionistic fuzzy $_bgT_{1/2}$ ($IF_bgT_{1/2}$) space.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [13] and later Atanassov [1] generalized this idea to intuitionistic fuzzy sets using the notion of fuzzy sets. On the other hand Coker [4] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In this paper, we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings and studied some of their basic properties. We arrive at some characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: [1] Let X be a non empty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) A in X is an object having the form

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X\}$$

where the functions $\mu_A(x): X \to [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A(x): X \to [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non -membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A, respectively, and $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$ for each $x \in X$. Denote the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X by IFS (X).

Definition 2.2: [1] Let A and B be IFSs of the form

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \} \text{ and } B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X \}. \text{ Then}$$

a) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \ge \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$
b) $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$
c) $A^c = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$
d) $A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$
e) $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \wedge \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ instead of $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$. Also for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \{ \langle x, (\mu_A, \mu_B), (\nu_A, \nu_B) \rangle \}$ instead of $A = \langle x, (A/\mu_A, B/\mu_B), (A/\nu_A, B/\nu_B) \rangle$.

The intuitionistic fuzzy sets $0_\sim = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle / x \in X \}$ and $1_\sim = \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle / x \in X\}$ are respectively the empty set and the whole set of X.

Definition 2.3: [3] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT in short) on X is a family τ of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms.

- $0_\sim, 1_\sim \in \tau$
- $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$
- $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for any family $\{ G_i / i \in J \} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS in short) and any IFS in τ is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS in short) in X.

The complement A^c of an IFOS A in IFTS (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS in short) in X.

Definition 2.4: [3] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy interior and intuitionistic fuzzy closure are defined by

$$\mathrm{int}(A) = \cup \{ G \,/\, G \text{ is an IFOS in X and } G \subseteq A \},$$

$$\mathrm{cl}(A) = \cap \{ K \,/\, K \text{ is an IFCS in X and } A \subseteq K \}.$$

Note that for any IFS A in (X, τ), we have $\mathrm{cl}(A^c) = [\mathrm{int}(A)]^c$ and $\mathrm{int}(A^c) = [\mathrm{cl}(A)]^c$.

Definition 2.5: [7] An IFS $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle \}$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an

1) *intuitionist fuzzy semi open set* (IFSOS in short) if $A \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(A))$,
2) *intuitionist fuzzy α-open set* (IFαOS in short) if $A \subseteq \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(A)))$,
3) intuitionist fuzzy regular open set (IFROS in short) if $A = \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(A))$.

The family of all IFOS (respectively IFSOS, IFαOS, IFROS) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFO(X) (respectivelyIFSO(X), IFαO(X), IFRO(X)).

Definition 2.6:[7] An IFS $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an

- *intuitionistic fuzzy semi closed set* (IFSCS in short) if $\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- *intuitionistic fuzzy α-closed set* (IFαCS in short) if $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed set (IFRCS in short) if $A = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}((A)$.

The family of all IFCS (respectively IFSCS, IFαCS, IFRCS) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFC(X) (respectively IFSC(X), IFαC(X), IFRC(X)).

Definition 2.7:[8] Let A be an IFS in an IFTS (X, τ). Then

$$\mathrm{bint}(A) = \cup \{ G \,/\, G \text{ is an IFbOS in X and } G \subseteq A \},$$

$$\mathrm{bcl}(A) = \cap \{ K \,/\, K \text{ is an IFbCS in X and } A \subseteq K \}.$$

Note that for any IFS A in (X, τ), we have $\mathrm{scl}(A^c)=(\mathrm{sint}(A))^c$ and $\mathrm{sint}(A^c) = (\mathrm{scl}(A))^c$.

Definition 2.8: [11] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is an

1) intuitionistic fuzzy generalized closed set (IFGCS in short) if $\mathrm{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS in X.
2) intuitionistic fuzzy regular generalized closed set (IFRGCS in short) if $\mathrm{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever

$A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFROS in X.

Definition 2.9:[10] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi closed set (IFGSCS in short) if $\mathrm{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOSin (X, τ).

Definition 2.10:[10] An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi open set (IFGSOS in short) in X if the complement A^c is an IFGSCS in X.

The family of all IFGSCSs (IFGSOSs) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFGSC(X) (IFGSO(X)).

Definition 2.11:[8] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy ® - generalized semi closed set (IFπGSCS in short) if $\mathrm{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFπOS in (X, τ).

Result 2.12:[8]Every IFCS, IFGCS, IFRCS, IFαCS , IFGSCS is an IFπGSCS but the converses may not be true in general. Every IFαGCS is IFGSCS but the converse is need not be true.

Definition 2.13:[9] An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy alpha generalized open set (IFαGOS in short) in X if the complement A^c is an IFαGCS in X.

The family of all IFαGCSs (IFαGOSs) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFαGC(X)(IFαGO(X)).

Definition 2.14:[5] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ). Then f is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (IF continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in$ IFO(X) for every B $\in \sigma$.

Definition 2.15:[7] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ). Then f is said to be

- intuitionistic fuzzy semi continuous (IFS continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in$ IFSO(X) for every B $\in \sigma$.
- intuitionistic fuzzy α- continuous (IFα continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in$ IFαO(X) for every B $\in \sigma$.
- intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuous (IFP continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in$IFPO(X) for every B $\in \sigma$.

Result 2.16: [7] Every IF continuous mapping is an IFα-continuous mapping and every IFα-continuous mapping is an IFS continuous mapping.

Definition 2.17: [6]A mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy ¥ continuous* (IFγ continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFγOS in (X, τ) for every B $\in \sigma$.

Definition 2.18: [10] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ). Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized continuous (IFG continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in$ IFGCS(X) for every IFCS B in Y.

Result 2.19: [10] Every IF continuous mapping is an IFG continuous mapping.

Definition 2.20: [9]A mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi continuous* (IFGS continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFGSCS in (X, τ) for every IFCS B of (Y, σ).

Definition 2.21:[8] An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy $_bT_{1/2}$ ($IF_bT_{1/2}$in short) space if every IFGbCS in X is an IFCS in X.

Definition 2.22:[8] An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy$_bgT_{1/2}$ ($IF_bgT_{1/2}$ in short) space if every IFGbCS in X is an IFGCS in X.

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings

In this section we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mapping and studied some of its properties.

Definition 3.1: A mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) is called an*intuitionistic fuzzy generalizedsemi continuous* (IFGb continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFGbCS in (X, τ) for every IFCSB of (Y, σ).

Example 3.2: Let X = {a, b}, Y = {u, v} and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.4, 0.7) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.3, 0.4), (0.7, 0.6) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1, $1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2, $1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.3: Every IF continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.

Proof: Let f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) be an IF continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Since f is IF continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFCS in X. Since every IFCS is an IFGbCS, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.4: Let X = {a, b}, Y = {u, v} and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.3, 0.2), (0.6, 0.7) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.4, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1, $1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2, $1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A =⟨ y, (0.5, 0.6), (0.4, 0.3) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is IFGbCS in X but not IFCS in X. Therefore f is an IFG b continuous mapping but not an IF continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.5: Every IFα continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFα continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFαCS in X. Since every IFαCS is an IFGbCS, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

IJMDRR
E- ISSN –2395-1885
ISSN -2395-1877

Example 3.6: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.3, 0.2), (0.6, 0.8) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.3, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1,$1_\sim$}and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2,$1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.5, 0.6), (0.3, 0.3) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFαCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFα continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.7: Every IFR continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFR continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis f^{-1}(A) is an IFRCS in X. Since every IFRCS is an IbGSCS, f^{-1}(A) is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.8: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.2, 0.4), (0.8, 0.6) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.3, 0.5), (0.7, 0.5) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1,$1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2,$1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.7, 0.5), (0.3, 0.5) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFRCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFR continuous mapping

Theorem 3.9: Every IFGS continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFG continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis f^{-1}(A) is an IFGCS in X. Since every IFGCS is an IFGbCS, f^{-1}(A) is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.10: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.5, 0.6), (0.2, 0.2) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.6, 0.6), (0.3, 0.2) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1,$1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2,$1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.3, 0.2), (0.6, 0.6) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFGSCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFGS continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.11: Every IFαG continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFαG continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis f^{-1}(A) is an IFαGCS in X. Since every IFαGCS is an IFGSCS and every IFGSCS is an IFGbCS, f^{-1}(A) is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.12: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.5, 0.5), (0.4, 0.5) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ y, (0.5, 0.7), (0.5, 3) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1,$1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2, $1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.5, 0.3), (0.5, 0.7) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFαGCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFαG continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.13: Every IFGP continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFWG continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis f^{-1}(A) is an IFWGCS in X. Since every IFWGCS is an IFGbCS, f^{-1}(A) is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.14: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.4, 0.3), (0.6, 0.7) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ x, (0.6, 0.7), (0.4, 0.3) ⟩. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1, $1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_2, $1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.4, 0.3), (0.6, 0.7) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFGPCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFGP continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.15: Every IFWG continuous mapping is an IFGb continuous mapping but not conversely.
Proof: Letf: (X, τ) → (Y,σ) be an IFGP continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis f^{-1}(A) is an IFGPCS in X. Since every IFGPCS is an IFGbCS, f^{-1}(A) is an IFGbCS in X. Hence f is an IFGb continuous mapping.

Example 3.16: Let X = { a, b }, Y = { u, v } and T_1 = ⟨ x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.8, 0.7) ⟩, T_2 = ⟨ x, (0.4, 0.5), (0.6, 0.5) ⟩, T_3 = ⟨ y, (0.6, 0.5), (0.4, 0.5) ⟩.. Then τ = {$0_\sim$, T_1, T_2,$1_\sim$} and σ = { $0_\sim$, T_3, $1_\sim$} are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping f: (X, τ) → (Y, σ) by f(a) = u and f(b) = v. The IFS A = ⟨ y, (0.4, 0.5), (0.6, 0.5) ⟩ is IFCS in Y. Then f^{-1}(A) is IFGbCS in X but not IFWGCS in X. Then f is IFGb continuous mapping but not an IFWG continuous mapping.

The relations between various types of intuitionistic fuzzy continuity are given in the following diagram. In this diagram 'cts' means continuous.



Fig.1 Relation between intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings and other existing intuitionistic fuzzy mappings.

None of them is reversible.

Theorem 3.17: Amapping f: $X \rightarrow Y$ is IFGb continuous then the inverse image of each IFOS in Y is an IFαGOS in X.
Proof: Let A be an IFOS in Y. This implies A^c is IFCS in Y. Since f is IFGb continuous, $f^{-1}(A^c)$ is IFGbCS in X. Since $f^{-1}(A^c) = (f^{-1}(A))^c$, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGbOS in X.

Theorem 3.18: Let f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFGb continuous mapping, then f is an IF continuous mapping if X is an $IF_bT_{1/2}$ space.
Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGbCS in X, since f is an IFGb Continuous. Since X is an $IF_bT_{1/2}$ space, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFCS in X. Hence f is an IF continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.19: Let f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFGb continuous function, then f is an IFG continuous mapping if X is an $IFgbT_{1/2}$ space.
Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGbCS in X, by hypothesis. Since X is an $IFgbT_{1/2}$ space, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFGCS in X. Hence f is an IFG continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.20: Let f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFGb continuous mapping and g: $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$ is IF continuous, then gof: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$ is an IFGb continuous.
Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Z. Then $g^{-1}(A)$ is an IFCS in Y, by hypothesis. Since f is an IFGbcontinuous mapping, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$ is an IFGbCSin X. Hence gof is anIFGb continuous mapping.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b continuous mappings and studied some of its basic properties. Also we have studied the relationship between intuitionistic fuzzy generalized continuous mappings and some of the intuitionistic fuzzy continuous mappings already exist.

References

1. Atanassov. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
2. Chang, C., Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24, 1968, 182-190.
3. Coker, D., An introduction to fuzzy topological space, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88, 1997, 81-89.
4. El-Shafhi, M.E., and A. Zhakari., Semi generalized continuous mappings in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 15(1)(2007), 57-67.

5. Gurcay, H., Coker, D., and Haydar, A., On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces,jour. of fuzzy math., 5(1997), 365-378.
6. Hanafy, I.M., Intuitionistic fuzzy continuity, Canad. Math Bull. XX(2009), 1-11.
7. JoungKonJeon, Young Bae Jun, and Jin Han Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha continuity and intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuity, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 19 (2005), 3091-3101.
8. P.Rajarajeshwari and R.Krishnamoorthy ,Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized b closed sets, International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 63– No.14.
9. Sakthivel. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha generalized continuous mappings and intuitionistic fuzzy alpha generalized irresolute mappings, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 4 (2010), 1831 -1842.
10. Santhi.R, and K.Sakthivel., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Generalized Semi Continuous mappings, Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics, 5(2009),73-82.
11. Thakur, S.S., and RekhaChaturvedi, Regular generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Universitatea Din Bacau, Studii Si CercetariStiintifice, Seria: Matematica, 16 (2006), 257-272.
12. Young Bae Jun and Seok- Zun Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy semi-pre open sets and Intuitionistic semi-pre continuos mappings, jour. of Appl. Math and computing, 19(2005), 467-474.
13. Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8 (1965) 338-353.